

Supplementary material

1 **Table S1.** The information used to determine the ponderation factor in the calculation of the final parental investment score
 2 corresponding to each breeding pair of *S.flaveola*. With respect to the relative confidence in the measurement, *** = highly reliable, **
 3 = reliable, * = less reliable. The relative cost of parental investment was determined using the literature.
 4

| Aspect of Parental Care | Variable (code) | Number of participating adults | Relative cost of parental investment | Relative confidence in measurement | Ponderation factor | References |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Egg Quality | eggVol | 1 | Very high | *** | 0.1333333 | (Royle et al. 2012) |
| Nest Construction | nestVol | 2 | Medium | ** | 0.1000000 | (Collias 1986, Muth and Healy 2011) |
| Incubation | incHour | 1 | Very high | *** | 0.1333333 | (Lack 1954, Williams 1996, Conway and Martin 2000) |
| | incPeriod | 1 | High | ** | 0.1000000 | |
| Brooding | broodTime | 1 | Medium | ** | 0.0833333 | MacGregor & Cockburn 2002; Low et al 2011 |
| Feeding Visits | feedVis | 2 | High | *** | 0.1333333 | (Lack 1954, Ricklefs 1968, Martin 1987) |
| | regurgEvent | 2 | High | *** | 0.1333333 | |
| Male Vigilance | MaleAccomp | 1 | Low | * | 0.0500000 | (Harrison et al. 2009) |
| | maleGuard | 1 | Low | * | 0.0500000 | |
| Nest Defense | nestDef | 2 | Low | ** | 0.0833333 | (Barash 1975, Dugatkin and Godin 1992, Martin et al. 2000) |
| TOTAL | | | | | 1 | |

5 *The references cited were consulted to determine the relative cost of parental investment, from Very high to Low.*
 6
 7
 8

9 **Table S2.** Determination of the ponderation factors for each variable.

10

| Variable (code) | Number of adults | Value - adults | Relative cost | Value - cost | Confidence | Value - confidence | TOTAL Value |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|
| eggVol | 1 | 1 | Very high | 4 | *** | 3 | 8 |
| nestVol | 2 | 1 | Medium | 3 | ** | 2 | 6 |
| incHour | 1 | 2 | Very high | 4 | *** | 3 | 8 |
| incPeriod | 1 | 1 | High | 3 | ** | 2 | 6 |
| broodTime | 1 | 1 | Medium | 2 | ** | 2 | 5 |
| feedVis | 2 | 2 | High | 3 | *** | 3 | 8 |
| regurgEvent | 2 | 2 | High | 3 | *** | 3 | 8 |
| maleAccomp | 1 | 1 | Low | 1 | * | 1 | 3 |
| maleGuard | 1 | 1 | Low | 1 | * | 1 | 3 |
| nestDef | 2 | 2 | Low | 1 | ** | 2 | 5 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | 60 |

11

12

13 Therefore the ponderation factor for the variable EVol = $(1/60)*8$

14 = 0.1333333

15

16

17 **Table S3.** Example calculation of how to calculate the final parental investment score, using the data for Nest 1.

18

| Variable | Measurement value on its respective scale | Z score | Z score * Ponderation factor |
|-----------------|--|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| eggVol | 1750.00 | -1.96 | -0.26 |
| nestVol | 826.56 | -0.30 | -0.03 |
| incHour | 32.42 | 0.08 | 0.01 |
| incPeriod | 15.00 | 0.96 | 0.10 |
| maleAccomp | 0.46 | -0.22 | -0.01 |
| maleGuard | 0.05 | -1.02 | -0.05 |
| broodTime | 4.67 | -0.74 | -0.06 |
| feedVis | 1.52 | -1.13 | -0.15 |
| regurgEvent | 8 | -0.96 | -0.13 |
| nestDef* | 2 | -0.40 | -0.03 |
| | | TOTAL | -0.61 |

19

20 *The variable nestDef is a categorical variable. To include this variable in the calculation of the final parental investment
21 score, each response category was assigned a numerical value. The categories, Highly Reactive (HR) and Moderately
22 Reactive (MR) were divided into two, depending upon the number of adults which responded. Therefore, Non-reactive =
23 0, MR (one adult) = 1, MR (two adults) = 2, HR (one adult) = 3, HR (two adults) = 4).

24

25 **Table S4.** The generalized linear models used to compare the relationship between the number of incubation visits per
 26 hour and the egg parameters: Mass, Volume and Surface Area. AIC Model 1: 18.226, Model 2: 19.209 and Model 3:
 27 17.676. Gamma distribution, degrees of freedom = 19.

| Response Variable – incVis | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|---------|
| Egg Model | Variable | Estimate | SE | t | p |
| 1. Mass | Mass | 0.397 | 0.170 | 2.329 | 0.033 * |
| | Clutch | 0.059 | 0.044 | 1.330 | 0.202 |
| | maleGuard | -0.094 | 0.055 | -1.709 | 0.107 |
| 2. Volume | eggVol | 0.0002 | 0.0001 | 2.099 | 0.052 |
| | Clutch | 0.0538 | 0.0451 | 1.193 | 0.250 |
| | maleGuard | -0.0699 | 0.0523 | -1.336 | 0.200 |
| 3. Surface Area | eggArea | 0.001 | 0.0004 | 2.424 | 0.027 * |
| | Clutch | 0.050 | 0.0441 | 1.130 | 0.275 |
| | maleGuard | -0.075 | 0.0506 | -1.490 | 0.156 |

28

29

30

31

32 **Table S5.** Results from the best-fit GLMs for the response variables: RSuccess and T_{hwp} . The i) AIC, ii) standard deviation
 33 and iii) degrees of freedom for the final models were: RSuccess: i) 75.614, ii) 7.454, iii) 17. T_{hwp} : i) 63.903, ii) 0.113, iii) 19.

| Y_i | Variable | Estimate | SE | t | p |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|--------|---------|
| RSuccess | <u>Initial Model</u> | | | | |
| | incVis | -0.059 | 0.181 | -0.325 | 0.745 |
| | Score | -0.125 | 0.518 | -0.242 | 0.809 |
| | nestDef | 0.046 | 0.090 | 0.508 | 0.611 |
| | regurgEvent | 0.028 | 0.057 | 0.494 | 0.621 |
| | <u>Final Model</u> | | | | |
| | incVis | -0.044 | 0.169 | -0.258 | 0.796 |
| | nestDef | 0.045 | 0.090 | 0.505 | 0.614 |
| | regurgEvent | 0.020 | 0.044 | 0.442 | 0.658 |
| | T_{hwp} | <u>Initial Model</u> | | | |
| Score | | -0.010 | 0.012 | -0.894 | 0.384 |
| nestDef | | -0.004 | 0.002 | -2.033 | 0.059 |
| regurgEvent | | 0.001 | 0.001 | 1.041 | 0.313 |
| incVis | | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.438 | 0.667 |
| <u>Final Model</u> | | | | | |
| Score | | -0.005 | 0.008 | -0.659 | 0.518 |
| nestDef | | -0.004 | 0.002 | -2.210 | 0.040 * |

49

50 **Supplementary Bibliography:**

- 51 Barash, D. P. 1975. Evolutionary Aspects of Parental Behavior: Distraction Behavior of the Alpine Accentor. - *Wilson Bull.*
52 87: 367–373.
- 53 Collias, N. E. 1986. Engineering aspects of nest-building by birds. - *Endeavour* 10: 9–16.
- 54 Conway, C. J. and Martin, T. E. 2000. Evolution of passerine incubation behavior: Influence of food, temperature, and
55 nest predation. - *Evolution* (N. Y). 54: 670–685.
- 56 Dugatkin, L. A. and Godin, J.-G. J. 1992. Prey approaching predators: a cost-benefit perspective. - *Ann. Zool. Fennici* 29:
57 233–252.
- 58 Harrison, F., Barta, Z., Cuthill, I. and Székely, T. 2009. How is sexual conflict over parental care resolved? A meta-
59 analysis. - *J. Evol. Biol.* 22: 1800–1812.
- 60 Lack, D. 1954. *The Natural Regulation of Animal Numbers.* - Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 61 Martin, T. E. 1987. Food as a Limit on Breeding Birds : A Life-History Perspective. - *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 18: 453–487.
- 62 Martin, T. E., Scott, J. and Menge, C. 2000. Nest predation increases with parental activity: separating nest site and
63 parental activity effects. - *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 267: 2287–2293.
- 64 Muth, F. and Healy, S. D. 2011. The role of adult experience in nest building in the zebra finch, *Taeniopygia guttata.* -
65 *Anim. Behav.* 82: 185–189.
- 66 Ricklefs, R. E. 1968. Patterns of Growth in Birds. - *Ibis* (Lond. 1859). 110: 419–451.

- 67 Royle, N. J., Smiseth, P. T. and Kölliker, M. 2012. *The Evolution of Parental Care*. - Oxford University Press.
- 68 Williams, J. B. 1996. Energetics of Avian Incubation. - In: Carey, C. (ed), *Avian Energetics and Nutritional ecology*.
69 Chapman and Hall, pp. 375–416.
- 70
- 71